



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/503,181	02/14/2000	Yair Frankel	PM 265650	6203
909	7590 12/28/2005		EXAM	INER
PILLSBUR'	Y WINTHROP SHAV	HO, THOMAS M		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	/A 22102		2134	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/503,181	FRANKEL ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas M. Ho	2134	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		with the correspondence addr	ess
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may	a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this com ARANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	9 September 2005.		
20) This action is FINAL 2b) 7	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (J.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims 1-4, 6-67			
4) Claim(s) 187 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 167 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers	miner		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐	accepted or b) objected	I to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in ab	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).	•
Depleasment drawing sheet(s) including the co	prrection is required if the draw	ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form PT	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received ments have been received priority documents have tureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No been received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/94) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	18) Pape SB/08) 5) Notice	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTo	O-152)
17 day of 000			1 D-4- 002605

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/503,181

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amendment of 9/9/05 has been received and entered.

Response to Amendments

2. In light of the amendments made to claim 1, the rejections under 35 USC 101 and 112 have been withdrawn to claims 1-4, 6-51. Claim 5 is canceled.

Claims 64-67 are new claims and are addressed in the action below.

Response to Arguments

3. The Examiner has considered the amendments and arguments made with respect to claims 1 and 16. In light of these amendments, the rejections to claims 1-4, 6-51 under 35 USC 101, and 112 have been withdrawn. The rejections to claims 52-63 under 35 USC 112 have also been withdrawn.

In reference to claim 52, Applicant arguments are unpersuasive with regards to the rejection under 35 USC 101. The applicant's arguments presented on page 13, 2nd paragraph constitutes an allegation that the claimed invention is concrete, useful, and tangible. Applicant attempts to justify this position by pointing out that there is no difference in tangibility between the alleged

patentable subject matter of claim 52, and a financial case, state street bank v. Signature financial group, 149 F.3d 1368 (Fed Cir. 1998) where the useful, concrete, and tangible result was "expressed in numbers representing price, profit, percentage, cost, or loss".

The Examiner contends that such an analogy is not applicable to the database system as recited in claim 52. The set of case laws establishing patentability for inventions which find practical application and tangibility in the realm of financial systems is not the case law which has established the boundaries of 35 USC 101 in computer systems.

By Applicant's analogy, an additional argument could be made that E=MC² has no difference in tangibility because it expresses numbers representing energy, Mass, and the speed of light in a vacuum, and is therefore patentable.

The Examiner contends that with respect to computer systems and Data structures representing descriptive material, the MPEP has stated in Section 2106, IV. B. 1. (a)

Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality as nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

The database system of claim 52 however, appears to be a database which represents various entities it is system. A database system by itself does not recite the components necessary to

implement it. To the Examiner's knowledge, the database system of claim 52 appears to be a database software package, such as a Microsoft access file or oracle database file, in which tables and records represent these roles as defined by the Applicant. As a database system, the claim appears to consist of a data structure in which various entities and their relationships are represented in said database.

The MPEP however, illustrates guidelines as to how Applicant may overcome the rejection under 35 USC 101 in the following statements.

When a computer program is claimed in a process where the computer is executing the computer program's instructions, Office personnel should treat the claim as a process claim. When a computer program is recited in conjunction with a physical structure, such as a computer memory. Office personnel should treat the claim as a product claim.

As a side matter of note, it appears Claim 55 is skipped, and the numbering proceeds from claim

Also the lack of resolution on this 35 USC/0/ issue has made the prior art analysis difficult. Unlike the other set of claims, claims that depend from Claim 52 (and claim 52) retain the previous rejections.

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Art Unit: 2134

5. Claims 52-63, 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the subject matter is nonstatutory.

The Examiner maintains that claim 52 is not enabled in the technological arts nor is it limited to a machine.

Applicant has recited "a database system", but has failed to claim any computers or hardware in which the database is to be drawn to. In view of this fact, the database system of claim 52 appears to be completely intangible. As a process claim, claim 52 fails to produce a concrete result, or any result at all, and therefore invalid as a process. As a machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, the system of claim 52 itself fails to be tangible, thereby invalidating it under 35 USC 101.

The Examiner contends that with respect to computer systems and Data structures representing descriptive material, the MPEP has stated in Section 2106, IV. B. 1. (a)

Since a computer program is merely a set of instructions capable of being executed by a computer, the computer program itself is not a process and Office personnel should treat a claim for a computer program, without the computer-readable medium needed to realize the computer program's functionality as nonstatutory functional descriptive material.

The database system of claim 52 however, appears to be a database which represents various entities it is system. A database system by itself does not recite the components necessary to implement it. To the Examiner's knowledge, the database system of claim 52 appears to be a database software package, such as a Microsoft access file or oracle database file, in which tables and records represent these roles as defined by the Applicant. As a database system, the claim appears to consist of a data structure in which various entities and their relationships are represented in said database.

The MPEP however, illustrates guidelines as to how Applicant may overcome the rejection under 35 USC 101 in the following statements.

When a computer program is claimed in a process where the computer is executing the computer program's instructions, Office personnel should treat the claim as a process claim. When a computer program is recited in conjunction with a physical structure, such as a computer memory, Office personnel should treat the claim as a product claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2134

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-15, 52-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ginter et al., US patent 5892900. and 52-65 are rejected by Lampson et al. (as cited in aprevious Africe Aetron).

In reference to claim 1:

Ginter et al. discloses a method for control and maintenance of an operational organization structure(Figure 1), involving cryptographic control and maintenance of entities within one or more organizations(Figures 1 and 1A), the method being automated using a computing device(Column 4, lines 48-62) & (Figure 1A, Items 200e, 200h), the method comprising:

- Associating electronic representations of entities with cryptographic capabilities;
 (Column 9, lines 3-33), paragraphs 710, 1282-1284, 1289
 - (710) SPE 503/HPE 655 may support both <u>Public Kev</u> type keys and Bulk Encryption type keys. The <u>public kev</u> (PK) encryption type keys stored by SPU 500 and managed by key and tag manager 558 may include, for example, a device <u>public key</u>, a device private key, a PK certificate, and a <u>public key</u> for the certificate. Generally, public keys and certificates can be stored externally in non-secured memory if desired, but the device private key and the <u>public key</u> for the certificate should only be stored internally in an SPU 500 EEPROM or NVRAM 534b. Some of the types of bulk encryption keys used by the SPU 500 may include, for example, general-purpose bulk encryption keys, administrative object private header keys, stationary object private header keys, traveling object private header keys, download/initialization keys, backup keys, trail keys, and management file keys.
 - (1282) Conventional techniques for generating PK and non-PK keys based upon such "seeds" may be used. Thus, if performance and manufacturing costs permit, PPE 650 in the preferred embodiment will generate its own public/private key pair based on such random or pseudo-random "seed" values. This key pair may then be used for external communications between the PPE 650 that generated the key pair and other PPEs that wish to communicate with it. For example, the generating PPE 650 may reveal the <u>public key</u> of the key pair to other PPEs.

Art Unit: 2134

This allows other PPEs 650 using the <u>public kev</u> to encrypt messages that may be decrypted only by the generating PPE (the generating PPE is the only PPE that "knows" the corresponding "private key"). Similarly, the generating PPE 650 may encrypt messages using its private key that, when decrypted successfully by other PPEs with the generating PPE's <u>public key</u>, permit the other PPEs to authenticate that the generating PPE sent the message.

(1283) Before one PPE 650 uses a <u>public key</u> generated by another PPE, a <u>public key</u> certification process should be used to provide authenticity certificates for the <u>public key</u>. A <u>public-key</u> certificate is someone's <u>public key</u> "signed" by a trustworthy entity such as an authentic PPE 650 or a VDE administrator. Certificates are used to thwart attempts to convince a PPE 650 that it is communicating with an authentic PPE when it is not (e.g., it is actually communicating with a person attempting to break the security of PPE 650). One or more VDE administrators in the preferred embodiment may constitute a certifying authority. By "signing" both the <u>public key</u> generated by a PPE 650 and information about the PPE and/or the corresponding VDE electronic appliance 600 (e.g., site ID, user ID, expiration date, name, address, etc.), the VDE administrator certifying authority can certify that information about the PPE and/or the VDE electronic appliance is correct and that the <u>public key</u> belongs to that particular VDE mode.

(1284) Certificates play an important role in the trustedness of digital signatures, and also are important in the <u>public-key</u> authentication communications protocol (to be discussed below). In the preferred embodiment, these certificates may include information about the trustedness/level of security of a particular VDE electronic appliance 600 (e.g., whether or not it has a hardware-based SPE 503 or is instead a less trusted software emulation type HPE 655) that can be used to avoid transmitting certain highly secure information to less trusted/secure VDE installations.

(1289) In the preferred embodiment, PPE 650 may generate its own certificate, or the certificate may be obtained externally, such as from a certifying authority VDE administrator. Irrespective of where the digital certificate is generated, the certificate is eventually registered by the VDE administrator certifying authority so that other VDE electronic appliances 600 may have access to (and trust) the public key. For example, PPE 650 may communicate its public key and other information to a certifying authority which may then encrypt the public key and other information using the certifying authority's private key. Other installations 600 may trust the "certificate" because it can be authenticated by using the certifying authority's public key to decrypt it. As another example, the certifying authority may encrypt the public kev it receives from the generating PPE 650 and use it to encrypt the certifying authority's private key. The certifying authority may then send this encrypted information back to the generating PPE 650. The generating PPE 650 may then use the certifying authority's private key to internally create a digital certificate, after which it may destroy its copy of the certifying authority's

Art Unit: 2134

private key. The generating PPE 650 may then send out its digital certificate to be stored in a certification repository at the VDE administrator (or elsewhere) if desired. The certificate process can also be implemented with an external key pair generator and certificate generator, but might be somewhat less secure depending on the nature of the secure facility. In such a case, a manufacturing key should be used in PPE 650 to limit exposure to the other keys involved.

• Organizing entities within the organizational structure as roles through associating the electronic representations of entities with electronic representations of roles; (Figures 1,

1A, 2), and paragraph 1865 and 1866

(1865) All participants of VDE 100 have the innate ability to participate in any role. For example, users may gather together existing protected packages, add (create new content) packages of their own, and create new products. They may choose to serve as their own distributor, or delegate this responsibility to others. These capabilities are particularly important in the object oriented paradigm which is entering the marketplace today. The production of compound objects, object linking and embedding, and other multi-source processes will create a need for these capabilities of VDE 100. The distribution process provided by VDE 100 is symmetrical; any end-user may redistribute information received to other end-users, provided they possess permission from and follow the rules established by the distribution chain VDE control information governing redistribution. End-users also may, within the same rules and permissions restriction, encapsulate content owned by others within newly published works and distribute these works independently. Royalty payments for the new works may be accessed by the publisher, distributors, or end-users, and may be tracked and electronically collected at any stage of the chain.

(1866) Independent financial providers can play an important <u>role</u> in VDE 100. The VDE financial provider <u>role</u> is similar to the <u>role</u> played by organizations such as VISA in traditional distribution scenarios. In any distribution model, authorizing payments for use of products or services and auditing usage for consistency and irregularities, is critical. In VDE 100, these are the <u>roles</u> filled by independent financial providers. The independent financial providers may also provide audit services to content providers. Thus, budgets or limits on use, and audits, or records of use, may be processed by (and may also be put in place by) clearinghouses 116, and the clearinghouses may then collect usage payments from users 112. Any VDE user 112 may assign the right to process

Art Unit: 2134

information or perform services on their behalf to the extend allowed by senior control information. The arrangement by which one VDE participant acts on behalf of another is called a "proxy." Audit, distribution, and other important rights may be "proxied" if permitted by the content provider. One special type of "proxy" is the VDE administrator 116b. A VDE administrator is an organization (which may be acting also as a financial clearinghouse 116) that has permission to manage (for example, "intervene" to reset) some portion or all of VDE secure subsystem control information for VDE electronic appliances. This administration right may extend only to admitting new appliances to a VDE infrastructure and to recovering "crashed" or otherwise inoperable appliances, and providing periodic VDE updates.

Page 10

Upon any addition, deletion, or modification of an entity, a cryptographic capability, or
any of their associations, maintaining roles within the organizational structure by adding,
deleting or modifying electronic representations of the entities, cryptographic
capabilities, roles, or any of their associations. (Column 13, lines 25-50) and paragraphs
164, 204, 209, 206

Ginter, paragraph 164

(164) VDEF transaction control elements reflect and enact content specific and/or more generalized administrative (for example, general operating system) control information. VDEF capabilities which can generally take the form of applications (application models) that have more or less configurability which can be shaped by VDE participants, through the use, for example, of VDE templates, to employ specific capabilities, along, for example, with capability parameter data to reflect the elements of one or more express electronic agreements between VDE participants in regards to the use of electronic content such as commercially distributed products. These control capabilities manage the use of, and/or auditing of use of, electronic content, as well as reporting information based upon content use, and any payment for said use. VDEF capabilities may "evolve" to reflect the requirements of one or more successive parties who receive or otherwise contribute to a given set of control information. Frequently, for a VDE application for a given content model (such as distribution of entertainment on CD-ROM, content delivery from an Internet repository, or electronic catalog shopping and advertising, or some combination of the above) participants would be able to securely select from amongst available, alternative control methods and apply related parameter data, wherein such selection of control method and/or submission of data would constitute their "contribution" of control information. Alternatively, or in

addition, certain control methods that have been expressly certified as securely interoperable and compatible with said application may be independently submitted by a participant as part of such a contribution. In the most general example, a generally certified load module (certified for a given VDE arrangement and/or content class) may be used with many or any VDE application that operates in nodes of said arrangement. These parties, to the extent they are allowed, can independently and securely add, delete, and/or otherwise modify the specification of load modules and methods, as well as add, delete or otherwise modify related information.

Ginter paragraph 204

Handlers in a pathway of handling of content control information, to the extent each is authorized, can establish, modify. and/or contribute to, permission, auditing, payment, and reporting control information related to controlling, analyzing, paying for, and/or reporting usage of, electronic content and/or appliances (for example, as related to usage of VDE controlled property content). Independently delivered (from an independent source which is independent except in regards to certification), at least in part secure, control information can be employed to securely modify content control information when content control information has flowed from one party to another party in a sequence of VDE content control information handling. This modification employs, for example, one or more VDE component assemblies being securely processed in a VDE secure subsystem.

Ginter paragraph 209

(209) (a) "evolve," for example, the extractor of content may add new control methods and/or <u>modify</u> control parameter data, such as VDE application compliant methods, to the extent allowed by the content's in-place control information. Such new control information might specify, for example, who may use at least a portion of the new object, and/or how said at least a portion of said extracted content may be used (e.g. when at least a portion may be used, or what portion or quantity of portions may be used);

Ginter paragraph 306

(306) ROS 602 provided by the preferred embodiment permits secure modification and update of control information governing each component. The control information may be provided in a template format such as method options to an end-user. An end-user may then customize the actual control information used within guidelines provided by a distributor or content creator.

Modification and update of existing control structures is preferably also a controllable event subject to auditing and control information.

In reference to claim 2:

Ginter et al. (paragraph 1289) discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein the method involves at least a public key infrastructure operation.

In reference to claim 3:

Ginter et al. discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the control and maintenance further comprises:

Assigning elements in said organizational structure to roles within said organizational structure. (Figures 2 and 5B) & paragraph 1866.

In reference to claim 4:

Ginter et al. (Figures 1 and 1A) & paragraphs 1885, 1886, 1887 discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the control and maintenance further comprises:

Assigning elements in said organizational structure to groups within said organizational structure.

(1885) A VDE node electronic appliance 600 may receive and process audit records on behalf of an object provider if that VDE node receives any necessary administrative budget, audit method, and audit key information (used, for example, to decrypt audit trails), from the object provider. An auditing-capable VDE electronic appliance 600 may control execution of audit reduction methods. "Audit reduction" in the preferred embodiment is the process of extracting information from audit records and/or processes that an object provider (e.g., any object provider along a chain of handling of the object) has specified to be reported to an object's distributors, object creators, client administrators, and/or any other user of audit information. This may include, for example, advertisers who may be required to pay for a

user's usage of object content. In one embodiment, for example, a clearinghouse can have the ability to "append" budget, audit method, and/or audit key information to an object or class or other grouping of objects located at a user site or located at an object provider site to ensure that desired audit processes will take place in a "trusted" fashion. A participant in a chain of handling of a VDE content container and/or content container control information object may act as a "proxy" for another party in a chain of handling of usage auditing information related to usage of object content (for example a clearinghouse, an advertiser, or a party interested in market survey and/or specific customer usage information). This may be done by specifying, for that other party, budget, audit method, and/or key information that may be necessary to ensure audit information is gathered and/or provided to, in a proper manner, said additional party. For example, employing specification information provided by said other party.

(1886) Object Creation and Initial Control Structures

(1887) The VDE preferred embodiment object creation and control structure design processes support fundamental configurability of control information. This enables VDE 100 to support a full range of possible content types, distribution pathways, usage control information, auditing requirements, and users and user groups. VDE object creation in the preferred embodiment employs VDE templates whose atomic elements represent at least in part modular control processes. Employing VDE creation software (in the preferred embodiment a GUI programming process) and VDE templates, users may create VDE objects 300 by, for example, partitioning the objects, placing "meta data" (e.g., author's name, creation date, etc.) into them, and assigning rights associated with them and/or object content to, for example, a publisher and/or content creator. When an object creator runs through this process, she normally will go through a content specification procedure which will request required data. The content specification process, when satisfied, may proceed by, for example, inserting data into a template and encapsulating the content. In addition, in the preferred embodiment, an object may also automatically register its presence with the local VDE node electronic appliance 600 secure subsystem, and at least one permissions record 808 may be produced as a result of the interaction of template instructions and atomic methods, as well as one or more pieces of control structure which can include one or more methods, budgets, and/or etc. A registration process may require a budget to be created for the object. If an object creation process specifies an initial distribution, an administrative object may also be created for distribution. The administrative object may contain one or more permission records 808, other control structures, methods, and/or load modules.

Art Unit: 2134

In reference to claim 6:

Ginter et al. (Figures 1 and 1A) & paragraphs 1885, 1886, 1887 discloses a method as in claim 3 wherein at least some of said elements are already grouped elements, where the previously grouped elements include user groups.

In reference to claim 7:

Ginter et al. paragraphs 986, 1012, 1989, and 2006 discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein said method involves access control technology.

(986) In the example, subject table 462 associates users (or groups of users) with registered objects. The example subject table 462 performs the function of an <u>access control</u> list by specifying which users are authorized to access which registered VDE objects 300.

(1012) User or user group ID 472(2) identifies a user or a user group authorized to use the object identified in field 468(5). Thus, the fields 468(5) and 472(2) together form the heart of the <u>access control</u> list provided by subject table 462. User attributes field 472(3) may specify attributes pertaining to use/access to object 300 by the user or user group specified in fields 472(2). Any number of different users or user groups may be added to the <u>access control</u> list (each with a different set of attributes 472(3)) by providing additional subject records 470 in the "linked list" structure.

(1989) In this example, the law firm receives in VDE content containers documents from their client's VDE installation secure subsystem(s). Alternatively, or in addition, the law firm may receive either physical documents which may be scanned into electronic form, and/or they receive electronic documents which have not yet been placed in VDE containers. The electronic form of a document is stored as a VDE container (object) associated with the specific client and/or case. The VDE container mechanism supports a hierarchical ordering scheme for organizing files and other information within a container; this mechanism may be used to organize the electronic copies of the documents within a container, A VDE container is associated with specific access control information and rights that are described in one or more permissions control information sets (PERCs) associated with that container.

Art Unit: 2134

In this example, only those members of the law firm who possess a VDE instance, an appropriate PERC, and the VDE object that contains the desired document, may use the document. Alternatively or in addition, a law firm member may use a VDE instance which has been installed on the law firm's network server. In this case, the member must be identified by an appropriate PERC and have access to the document containing VDE object (in order to use the server VDE installation). Basic access control to electronic documents is enabled using the secure subsystem of one or more user VDE installations.

(2006) The organization as a whole may have a well-defined policy for <u>access</u> <u>control</u> to, and/or other usage control of documents. This policy may be based on a "lattice model" of information flow, in which documents are characterized as having one or more hierarchical "classification" security attributes 9903 and zero or more non-hierarchical "compartment" security attributes, all of which together comprise a sensitivity security attribute.

In reference to claim 8:

Ginter et al. paragraphs 986, 1012, 1989, and 2006 discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein said method involves at least an access control operation.

In reference to claim 9:

Ginter et al. discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein said method involves at least a data-base operation. (Figure 12, Item 730, Item 750, 744, 752) and paragraph 104

(104) Secondary storage 652 in this example stores code and data used by CPU 654 and/or SPU 500 to control the overall operation of electronic appliance 600. For example, FIG. 8 shows that "Rights Operating System" ("ROS") 602 (including a portion 604 of ROS that provides VDE functions and a portion 606 that provides other OS functions) shown in FIG. 7 may be stored on secondary storage 652. Secondary storage 652 may also store one or more VDE objects 300. FIG. 8 also shows that the secure files 610 shown in FIG. 7 may be stored on secondary storage 652 in the form of a "secure database" or management file system 610. This secure database 610 may store and organize information used by ROS 602 to perform VDE functions 604. Thus, the code that is executed to perform VDE and other OS functions 604, 606, and secure files 610 (as well as

VDE objects 300) associated with those functions may be stored in secondary storage 652. Secondary storage 652 may also store "other information" 673 such as, for example, information used by other operating system functions 606 for task management, non-VDE files, etc. Portions of the elements indicated in secondary storage 652 may also be stored in ROM 658, so long as those elements do not require changes (except when ROM 658 is replaced). Portions of ROS 602 in particular may desirably be included in ROM 658 (e.g., "bootstrap" routines, POST routines, etc. for use in establishing an operating environment for electronic appliance 600 when power is applied).

In reference to claim 10:

Ginter et al. discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein said method involves at least one operation implemented in a hardware device. (Figures 12, 12a) and paragraph 104

In reference to claim 11:

Ginter et al. discloses a method as in claim 1, wherein the operational organizational structure represents at least one commercial organization. (Figures 1 and 1a) and paragraph 1866

(1866) Independent financial providers can play an important role in VDE 100. The VDE financial provider <u>role</u> is similar to the <u>role</u> played by organizations such as VISA in traditional distribution scenarios. In any distribution model, authorizing payments for use of products or services and auditing usage for consistency and irregularities, is critical. In VDE 100, these are the roles filled by independent financial providers. The independent financial providers may also provide audit services to content providers. Thus, budgets or limits on use, and audits, or records of use, may be processed by (and may also be put in place by) clearinghouses 116, and the clearinghouses may then collect usage payments from users 112. Any VDE user 112 may assign the right to process information or perform services on their behalf to the extend allowed by senior control information. The arrangement by which one VDE participant acts on behalf of another is called a "proxy." Audit, distribution, and other important rights may be "proxied" if permitted by the content provider. One special type of "proxy" is the VDE administrator 116b. A VDE administrator is an organization (which may be acting also as a financial clearinghouse 116) that has permission to manage (for example, "intervene" to reset) some portion or all of VDE secure subsystem control information for VDE electronic appliances. This administration right may extend only to admitting new appliances to a VDE infrastructure and to recovering "crashed" or otherwise inoperable appliances,

Art Unit: 2134

and providing periodic VDE updates.

In reference to claim 12:

Ginter et al. discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the operational organizational structure represents at least two organizations, and wherein one of said organizations performs at least one function on behalf of another of said organizations. (Figures 1 and 1a)

In reference to claim 13:

Ginter et al. (paragraph 251) discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises changing software whose authorization is checked.

(251) The <u>updating</u> of property management files at each location of a VDE arrangement, to accommodate new or modified control information, is performed in the VDE secure subsystem and under the control of secure management file <u>updating</u> programs executed by the protected subsystem. Since all secure communications are at least in part encrypted and the processing inside the secure subsystem is concealed from outside observation and interference, the present invention ensures that content control information can be enforced. As a result, the creator and/or distributor and/or client administrator and/or other contributor of secure control information for each property (for example, an end-user restricting the kind of audit information he or she will allow to be reported and/or a financial clearinghouse establishing certain criteria for use of its credit for payment for use of distributed content) can be confident that their contributed and accepted control information will be enforced (within the security limitations of a given VDE security implementation design). This control information can determine, for example:

In reference to claim 14:

Application/Control Number: 09/503,181 Page 18

Art Unit: 2134

Ginter et al. (Figures 1, 1A, paragraphs 145,226) discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises changing hardware.

(145) An objective of VDE is supporting a transaction/distribution control standard. Development of such a standard has many obstacles, given the security requirements and related hardware and communications issues, widely differing environments, information types, types of information usage, business and/or data security goals, varieties of participants, and properties of delivered information. A significant feature of VDE accommodates the many, varying distribution and other transaction variables by, in part, decomposing electronic commerce and data security functions into generalized capability modules executable within a secure hardware SPU and/or corresponding software subsystem and further allowing extensive flexibility in assembling, modifying, and/or replacing, such modules (e.g. load modules and/or methods) in applications run on a VDE installation foundation. This configurability and reconfigurability allows electronic commerce and data security participants to reflect their priorities and requirements through a process of iteratively shaping an evolving extended electronic agreement (electronic control model). This shaping can occur as content control information passes from one VDE participant to another and to the extent allowed by "in place" content control information. This process allows users of VDE to recast existing control information and/or add new control information as necessary (including the elimination of no longer required elements).

(226) support the use of multiple VDE secure subsystems in a single VDE installation. Various security and/or performance advantages may be realized by employing a distributed VDE design within a single VDE installation. For example, designing a hardware based VDE secure subsystem into an electronic appliance VDE display device, and designing said subsystem's integration with said display device so that it is as close as possible to the point of display, will increase the security for video materials by making it materially more difficult to "steal" decrypted video information as it moves from outside to inside the video system. Ideally, for example, a VDE secure hardware module would be in the same physical package as the actual display monitor, such as within the packaging of a video monitor or other display device, and such device would be designed, to the extent commercially practical, to be as tamper resistant as reasonable. As another example, embedding a VDE hardware module into an I/O peripheral may have certain advantages from the standpoint of overall system throughput. If multiple VDE instances are employed within the same VDE installation, these instances will ideally share resources to the extent practical, such as VDE instances storing certain control information and content and/or appliance usage information on the same mass storage device and in the same VDE management database.

Art Unit: 2134

In reference to claim 15:

Ginter et al. (Figures 1, 1A, paragraph 1654, 1655) discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein the method further comprises moving hardware, where the hardware may be positioned to the liking of the user, such as the movement of the keyboard.

(1654) Although each of electronic appliances 600 shown in the figure may have a generally similar architecture, they may perform different specialized tasks. For example, electronic appliance 600(1) might comprise a central processing section of a workstation responsible for managing the overall operation of the workstation and providing computation resources. Electronic appliance 600(2) might be a mass storage device 620 for the same workstation, and could provide a storage mechanism 2636 that might, for example, read information from and write information to a secondary storage device 652. Electronic appliance 600(3) might be a display device 614 responsible for performing display tasks, and could provide a displaying mechanism 2638 such as a graphics controller and associated video or other display. Electronic appliance 600(N) might be a printer 622 that performs printing related tasks and could include, for example, a print mechanism 2640.

(1655) Each of electronic appliances 600(1), . . . 600(N) could comprise a different module of the same workstation device all contained within a common housing, or the different electronic appliances could be located within different system components. For example, electronic appliance 600(2) could be disposed within a disk controller unit, electronic appliance 600(3) could be disposed within a display device 614 housing, and the electronic appliance 600(N) could be disposed within the housing of a printer 622. Referring back to FIG. 7, scanner 626, modem 618, telecommunication means 624, keyboard 612 and/or voice recognition box 613 could each comprise a VDE electronic appliance 600 having its own SPU 500. Additional examples include RF or otherwise wireless interface controller, a serial interface controller, LAN controllers, MPEG (video) controllers, etc.

In reference to claim 52:

Lampson et. al. (Section 5.1, A single certification authority, p. 283 – 286) discloses database system representing an organization involving directories representing entities, their characteristics, roles, and relationships together with their associations with cryptographic capabilities, the database system comprising following transactional components:

Connection to cryptographic authorities representing the cryptographic capabilities associated with said entities, said characteristics, and said relationships, where the cryptographic authorities are certification authorities, and the entities are principals who communication to the CA's in cryptographic transactions.

A maintenance system by which said database and said cryptographic authorities are maintained in coordination and by authorized parties assuring the representation of said organization and said cryptographic capabilities are soundly associated as defined by the coordination directives, where the maintenance of the authorizations is observed through the use of certification authorities, and using the database to check access control transactions. Lampson et al. (p.270 1st paragraph)

Maintainance transactions acting within said maintenance system, maintaining view representing an organization, where the maintenance transaction are database accesses to justify granting accesses Lampson et al. (p.270 1st paragraph)

In reference to claim 53:

Lampson et. al. (Section 2, p. 268 - 270) discloses a system wherein said organization comprises a plurality of entities, where entities are principals.

In reference to claim 54:

Lampson et. al (Section 5.2, Path Names and Multiple Authorities, p. 286-290) discloses a system wherein said cryptographic authorities is a plurality of at least one certification authorities.

Art Unit: 2134

Page 21

In reference to claim 56:

Lampson et al. (Section 5.2, Path Names and Multiple Authorities, p. 286-290) discloses a

system wherein said cryptographic authorities is a plurality of authorities organized

hierarchically.

In reference to claim 57:

Lampson et al. (Section 9, Access Control, p. 305-307) discloses a system wherein said

authorized parties are maintained by another instantiation of the system, where the other

instantiation is the access control list.

In reference to claim 59:

Lampson et al. (Section 5.2, Path Names and Multiple Authorities, p. 283-286) discloses a

system wherein said coordinating directives involve cryptographic fields assuring integrity of the

operation, wherein the coordination of the entities with the certification authorities assure

integrity of the operation

In reference to claim 61:

Lampson et. al. (p. 285) discloses a system wherein cryptographic capabilities involve digital

certificates.

In reference to claim 62:

Art Unit: 2134

Lampson et. al. (Section 2, p. 268 – 270) discloses a system wherein said organization comprise

various organizational units, where the organization is the distributed authentication system, and

the organizational units are defined as Concepts and other such units as principals, people,

machines, services groups, all of which comprise an organization.

In reference to claim 63:

Lampson et. al. (Section 2 and Section 3.1,3.2, p. 268 – 272) discloses a system wherein said

organization comprise of various organizational units where entities are defined in one unit and

their roles are defined within a second unit, where the concept of Principals comprises entities,

and the roles are defined in a second concept, in statements.

In reference to claim 64:

Ginter et al. (Figures 1 and 1A) discloses a method as in claim 1 where a plurality of entities are

electronically visible to one part of the organization, a first set of outside viewers, or both, and

roles or characteristics thereof are electronically visible to another part of the organization, a

second set of outside viewers, or both, where the plurality of entities are visible to VDE

participant 1, and participant N.

In reference to claim 65:

Ginter et al. (paragraph 1866) discloses a method as in claim 1 where maintaining of roles within

the organizational structure is protected and can be performed only by an authorized party inside

or outside the organization, where the authorized party is the administrator.

Art Unit: 2134

(1866) Independent financial providers can play an important role in VDE 100. The VDE financial provider <u>role</u> is similar to the <u>role</u> played by organizations such as VISA in traditional distribution scenarios. In any distribution model, authorizing payments for use of products or services and auditing usage for consistency and irregularities, is critical. In VDE 100, these are the roles filled by independent financial providers. The independent financial providers may also provide audit services to content providers. Thus, budgets or limits on use, and audits, or records of use, may be processed by (and may also be put in place by) clearinghouses 116, and the clearinghouses may then collect usage payments from users 112. Any VDE user 112 may assign the right to process information or perform services on their behalf to the extend allowed by senior control information. The arrangement by which one VDE participant acts on behalf of another is called a "proxy." Audit, distribution, and other important rights may be "proxied" if permitted by the content provider. One special type of "proxy" is the VDE administrator 116b. A VDE administrator is an organization (which may be acting also as a financial clearinghouse 116) that has permission to manage (for example, "intervene" to reset) some portion or all of VDE secure subsystem control information for VDE electronic appliances. This administration right may extend only to admitting new appliances to a VDE infrastructure and to recovering "crashed" or otherwise inoperable appliances, and providing periodic VDE updates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 16-51, 66-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lampson et al. and Ginter et al.

Page 24

Application/Control Number: 09/503,181

Art Unit: 2134

In reference to claim 16:

Lampson et al. discloses a system for control and maintenance of an operational structure

involving at least:

one cryptographic method, where the cryptographic method is public key cryptography

(Section 4.1 – Section 4.4 p. 275-279)

• entities within organizations, characteristics of said entities and relationships between

said entities, where the entities are principals. (Section 2. Concepts P.268)

where the capabilities, functions, characteristics, and relationships of entities

are maintained and changed, where the changing is done through statements, and the statements

denote actions that principals can say (Section 3.1- Section 4, pages 271-274)

Lampson fails to disclose "changing the maintained electronic representation of said entities said

characteristics and said relationships upon an addition, deletion or modification of a

characteristic or relationship of the entities."

Ginter et al. discloses an electronic embodiment of a system for control and maintenance of an

operational structure including "changing the maintained electronic representation of said entities

said characteristics and said relationships upon an addition, deletion or modification of a

characteristic or relationship of the entities." paragraphs 164, 204, 209, 206

Ginter, paragraph 164

(164) VDEF transaction control elements reflect and enact content specific and/or more generalized administrative (for example, general operating system)

Art Unit: 2134

control information. VDEF capabilities which can generally take the form of applications (application models) that have more or less configurability which can be shaped by VDE participants, through the use, for example, of VDE templates, to employ specific capabilities, along, for example, with capability parameter data to reflect the elements of one or more express electronic agreements between VDE participants in regards to the use of electronic content such as commercially distributed products. These control capabilities manage the use of, and/or auditing of use of, electronic content, as well as reporting information based upon content use, and any payment for said use. VDEF capabilities may "evolve" to reflect the requirements of one or more successive parties who receive or otherwise contribute to a given set of control information. Frequently, for a VDE application for a given content model (such as distribution of entertainment on CD-ROM, content delivery from an Internet repository, or electronic catalog shopping and advertising, or some combination of the above) participants would be able to securely select from amongst available, alternative control methods and apply related parameter data, wherein such selection of control method and/or submission of data would constitute their "contribution" of control information. Alternatively, or in addition, certain control methods that have been expressly certified as securely interoperable and compatible with said application may be independently submitted by a participant as part of such a contribution. In the most general example, a generally certified load module (certified for a given VDE arrangement and/or content class) may be used with many or any VDE application that operates in nodes of said arrangement. These parties, to the extent they are allowed, can independently and securely add, delete, and/or otherwise modify the specification of load modules and methods, as well as add, delete or otherwise <u>modify</u> related information.

Ginter paragraph 204

Handlers in a pathway of handling of content control information, to the extent each is authorized, can establish, <u>modify</u>, and/or contribute to, permission, auditing, payment, and reporting control information related to controlling, analyzing, paying for, and/or reporting usage of, electronic content and/or appliances (for example, as related to usage of VDE controlled property content). Independently delivered (from an independent source which is independent except in regards to certification), at least in part secure, control information can be employed to securely <u>modify</u> content control information when content control information has flowed from one party to another party in a sequence of VDE content control information handling. This <u>modification</u> employs, for example, one or more VDE component assemblies being securely processed in a VDE secure subsystem.

Ginter paragraph 209

(209) (a) "evolve," for example, the extractor of content may add new control

Page 26

Application/Control Number: 09/503,181

Art Unit: 2134

methods and/or <u>modify</u> control parameter data, such as VDE application compliant methods, to the extent allowed by the content's in-place control information. Such new control information might specify, for example, who may use at least a portion of the new object, and/or how said at least a portion of said extracted content may be used (e.g. when at least a portion may be used, or what portion or quantity of portions may be used);

Ginter paragraph 306

(306) ROS 602 provided by the preferred embodiment permits secure modification and update of control information governing each component. The control information may be provided in a template format such as method options to an end-user. An end-user may then customize the actual control information used within guidelines provided by a distributor or content creator.

Modification and update of existing control structures is preferably also a controllable event subject to auditing and control information.

Ginter et al. teaches

- (146) VDE supports trusted (sufficiently secure) electronic information distribution and usage control models for both commercial electronic content distribution and data security applications. It can be configured to meet the diverse requirements of a network of interrelated participants that may include content creators, content distributors, client administrators, end users, and/or clearinghouses and/or other content usage information users. These parties may constitute a network of participants involved in simple to complex electronic content dissemination, usage control, usage reporting, and/or usage payment. Disseminated content may include both originally provided and VDE generated information (such as content usage information) and content control information may persist through both chains (one or more pathways) of content and content control information handling, as well as the direct usage of content. The configurability provided by the present invention is particularly critical for supporting electronic commerce, that is enabling businesses to create relationships and evolve strategies that offer competitive value. Electronic commerce tools that are not inherently configurable and interoperable will ultimately fail to produce products (and services) that meet both basic requirements and evolving needs of most commerce applications.
- (147) VDE's fundamental configurability will allow a broad range of competitive electronic commerce business models to flourish. It allows business models to be shaped to maximize revenues sources, end-user product value, and operating efficiencies. VDE can be employed to support multiple, differing models, take advantage of new revenue opportunities, and deliver product configurations most desired by users. Electronic commerce technologies that do not, as the present invention does:

Art Unit: 2134

- (148) support a broad range of possible, complementary revenue activities,
- (149) offer a flexible array of content usage features most desired by customers, and
- (150) exploit opportunities for operating efficiencies,
- (151) will result in products that are often intrinsically more costly and less appealing and therefore less competitive in the marketplace.
- (152) Some of the key factors contributing to the configurability intrinsic to the present invention include:
- (153) (a) integration into the fundamental control environment of a broad range of electronic appliances through portable API and programming language tools that efficiently support merging of control and auditing capabilities in nearly any electronic appliance environment while maintaining overall system security;
- (154) (b) modular data structures;
- (155) (c) generic content model;
- (156) (d) general modularity and independence of foundation architectural components;
- (157) (e) modular security structures;
- (158) (f) variable length and multiple branching chains of control; and
- (159) (g) independent, modular control structures in the form of executable load modules that can be maintained in one or more libraries, and assembled into control methods and models, and where such model control schemes can "evolve" as control information passes through the VDE installations of participants of a pathway of VDE content control information handling.

The advantage of Ginter et al. is then that it allows for electronic model to adapt with the changing needs and states of commercial applications and organizations.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ the updating and modification mechanism of Ginter and to use VDE as a whole in order to allow a model of an organization to adapt to businesses or organizations as they evolve and change.

In reference to claim 17:

Lampson et. al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one of said entities is an individual in an organization under "People: Lampson, Abadi"

In reference to claim 18:

Lampson et al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one of said entities is a group of individuals in an organization.

In reference to claim 19:

Lampson et al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one capability is a role in an organization.

In reference to claim 20:

Lampson et al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one capability is a task in an organization.

In reference to claim 21:

Lampson et al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one function is an operation by a functionary in an organization.

Art Unit: 2134

In reference to claim 22:

Lampson et al. (Section 2. Concepts, page 268) discloses a system where at least one function is an operation by a group of functionaries in an organization, where a group is a Principal and Principals may take on roles or "functions".

In reference to claim 23:

Lampson et al. (p. 269 4th paragraph and Section 5.2, p. 286-290) discloses a system where at least one of said characteristics and relationships is represented in a directory.

In reference to claim 25:

Lampson et al. (Figure 6, page 287) discloses a system where at least one of said characteristics and said relationships is represented in a public key infrastructure directory.

In reference to claim 26:

A system as in claim 16 where an operation of said system involves updating at least one directory.

The Examiner takes official notice that updating directories were well known at the time of invention.

For example, the deletion of a folder, and renaming of a folder in windows 95.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to update a directory to allow a user to manage and maintain files within a computer.

Page 30

Application/Control Number: 09/503,181

Art Unit: 2134

In reference to claim 27:

Lampson et al. (Figure 6, page 287) discloses a system where said system's operations involve

updating at least one public key infrastructure directory, where the authentication tree

demonstrates the public key infrastructure directory.

Claim 28 is rejected for the updating and modification reasoning used in claim 16.

Claim 29 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 27.

In reference to claim 30:

Lampson et. al (p.283) discloses a system where said changing of the said maintained elements

comprises change of databases, where the elements are principals and the credentials of an

element are looked up in the database.

In reference to claim 31:

Lampson et. al (p.283) discloses a system where said changing of the said maintained elements

comprises change of cryptographic certification information within the public key infrastructure

directories and further database changes, where the elements are principals, and a change of

cryptographic certification information would change the credentials of the element in the

database.

In reference to claim 32:

Art Unit: 2134

Lampson et. al. (Section 5.1, 5.2, p.283-290) discloses a system where said entities, said

characteristics and said relationships are maintained by combining database components and

components of certification authorities of a public key infrastructure,

where the entities are principals and their characteristics and relationships are maintained by

combining information from the database (the credentials of the entities) and the certificates

provided by the certification authorities of the public key infrastructure.

In reference to claim 33:

Lampson et. al. (p. 269 4th paragraph) discloses a system where said entities are represented in at

least first directory, where the entities are principals and

"/com/dec/src/burrows and /com/dec/src/abadi" are first directories where the entities are

represented

(Section 5.2, Path Names and Multiple Authorities, p. 287-290) discloses a system where said

characteristics and said relationships are represented in at least second directory, where the

second directory is tree or directory of authentication, and the paths within the directory hold

represent the cryptographic relationships between the entities.

Claim 34 is rejected for the same reason as claim 33.

In reference to claim 37:

Lampson et. al. (Section 5.1, A single certification authority, p. 283-286) discloses a system where said system's operation is activated by at least one designated entity amongst said entities, where the one designated entity is principal A, in first initiating the transaction.

In reference to claim 38:

Lampson et. al. (Section 5.1, A single certification authority, p. 283-286) demonstrates a system where said system's operation is activated based on agreed upon rules, where the agreed upon rules are apparent in the operation of the users interacting with the certification authority.

As per claims 39 and 41,

Ginter et al. paragraphs 986, 1012, 1989, and 2006 discloses a method as in claim 1 wherein said method involves access control technology or the authorization rules as characteristics of relationships and the operation of the system is based on authorizations.

(986) In the example, subject table 462 associates users (or groups of users) with registered objects. The example subject table 462 performs the function of an <u>access control</u> list by specifying which users are authorized to access which registered VDE objects 300.

(1012) User or user group ID 472(2) identifies a user or a user group authorized to use the object identified in field 468(5). Thus, the fields 468(5) and 472(2) together form the heart of the <u>access control</u> list provided by subject table 462. User attributes field 472(3) may specify attributes pertaining to use/access to object 300 by the user or user group specified in fields 472(2). Any number of different users or user groups may be added to the <u>access control</u> list (each with a different set of attributes 472(3)) by providing additional subject records 470 in the "linked list" structure.

(1989) In this example, the law firm receives in VDE content containers

Art Unit: 2134

 $documents\ from\ their\ client's\ VDE\ installation\ secure\ subsystem (s).$ Alternatively, or in addition, the law firm may receive either physical documents which may be scanned into electronic form, and/or they receive electronic documents which have not yet been placed in VDE containers. The electronic form of a document is stored as a VDE container (object) associated with the specific client and/or case. The VDE container mechanism supports a hierarchical ordering scheme for organizing files and other information within a container; this mechanism may be used to organize the electronic copies of the documents within a container, A VDE container is associated with specific access control information and rights that are described in one or more permissions control information sets (PERCs) associated with that container. In this example, only those members of the law firm who possess a VDE instance, an appropriate PERC, and the VDE object that contains the desired document, may use the document. Alternatively or in addition, a law firm member may use a VDE instance which has been installed on the law firm's network server. In this case, the member must be identified by an appropriate PERC and have access to the document containing VDE object (in order to use the server VDE installation). Basic access control to electronic documents is enabled using the secure subsystem of one or more user VDE installations.

(2006) The organization as a whole may have a well-defined policy for access control to, and/or other usage control of documents. This policy may be based on a "lattice model" of information flow, in which documents are characterized as having one or more hierarchical "classification" security attributes 9903 and zero or more non-hierarchical "compartment" security attributes, all of which together comprise a sensitivity security attribute.

In reference to claim 40:

Ginter et al. (Figure 12, Item 730, Item 750, 744, 752) and paragraph 104

(104) Secondary storage 652 in this example stores code and data used by CPU 654 and/or SPU 500 to control the overall operation of electronic appliance 600. For example, FIG. 8 shows that "Rights Operating System" ("ROS") 602 (including a portion 604 of ROS that provides VDE functions and a portion 606 that provides other OS functions) shown in FIG. 7 may be stored on secondary storage 652. Secondary storage 652 may also store one or more VDE objects 300. FIG. 8 also shows that the secure files 610 shown in FIG. 7 may be stored on secondary storage 652 in the form of a "secure database" or management file system 610. This secure database 610 may store and organize information used by ROS 602 to perform VDE functions 604. Thus, the code that is executed to perform VDE and other OS functions 604, 606, and secure files 610 (as well as VDE objects 300) associated with those functions may be stored in secondary

storage 652. Secondary storage 652 may also store "other information" 673 such as, for example, information used by other operating system functions 606 for task management, non-VDE files, etc. Portions of the elements indicated in secondary storage 652 may also be stored in ROM 658, so long as those elements do not require changes (except when ROM 658 is replaced). Portions of ROS 602 in particular may desirably be included in ROM 658 (e.g., "bootstrap" routines, POST routines, etc. for use in establishing an operating environment for electronic appliance 600 when power is applied).

Discloses the database maintainance operations involving said entities, characteristics and relationships.

In reference to claim 42:

Lampson et. al. (Section 5.2, Path Names and Multiple Authorities, p. 287-290) discloses a system where said characteristics and said relationships define authorization rules based on access structure, where the relationships defined by the authorization tree defines the authorization rules.

Claims 43 and 44 are rejected for the same reason as claim 42.

In reference to claim 45 and 46.

Ginter et al. (paragraphs 982, 983) discloses a system as in claim 16 with the additional operations of logging said system's operations.

(982) FIG. 28 shows an example of one possible detailed format for a receiving table 446. In one embodiment, receiving table 446 has a structure that is similar to the structure of the shipping table 444 shown in FIG. 27. Thus, for example, receiving table 446 may include a header 446a and a plurality of receiving records 447, each receiving record including details

Art Unit: 2134

about a particular reception or scheduled reception of an administrative object. Receiving table 446 may include two linked lists, one for completed receptions and another for schedule receptions. Receiving table records 447 may each reference an entry within name services record table 452 specifying an administrative object sender, and may each point to an entry within administrative event log 442. Receiving records 447 may also include additional details about scheduled and/or completed reception (e.g., scheduled or actual date/time of reception, purpose of reception and status of reception), and they may each include validation tags for validating references to other secure database records.

(983) FIG. 29 shows an example of a detailed format for an administrative event log 442. In the preferred embodiment, administrative event log 442 includes an event log record 442(1) . . . 442(N) for each shipped administrative object and for each received administrative object. Each administrative event log record may include a header 443a and from 1 to N sub-records 442(J)(1) . . . 442(J)(N). In the preferred embodiment, header 443a may include a site record number field 443A(1), a record length field 443A(2), an administrative object ID field 443A(3), a field 443A(4) specifying a number of events, a validation tag 443A(5) from shipping table 444 or receiving table 446, and a check sum field 443A(6). The number of events specified in field 443A(4) corresponds to the number of sub-records 442(J)(1). .. 442(J)(N) within the administrative event <u>log</u> record 442(J). Each of these sub-records specifies information about a particular "event" affected or corresponding to the administrative object specified within field 443(A)(3). Administrative events are retained in the administrative event log 442 to permit the reconstruction (and preparation for construction or processing) of the administrative objects that have been sent from or received by the system. This permits lost administrative objects to be reconstructed at a later time.

In reference to claim 47:

Lampson et al. (p.286, 2nd paragraph) discloses a system with the additional operation of monitoring operations within a system, where a timestamp is well known in the art to be considered a monitoring operation.

In reference to claim 48:

Art Unit: 2134

Lampson et al. (p.286, 2nd paragraph) discloses a system with the additional operations of time stamping operations within said system.

In reference to claim 49:

Lampson et al. discloses a system of authentication in distributed systems where it is understood that at least one of said system's operations is performed distributedly via communication.

Lampson et al. (Section 5.1, A single certification authority, p. 283) specifically discloses contacting a certification authority as an operation performed distributedly.

In reference to claim 50:

Lampson et al. (p. 283) discloses a system where at least one of said system's operations is a distributed database operation.

In reference to claim 51:

Ginter et. al. (Figure 12a, paragraph 1655) discloses a system as in claim 16 where at least one of said system's operations involves physical handling of devices to one of said entities, where the physical handling is the client handling and using of a computer and a keyboard.

(1655) Each of electronic appliances 600(1), . . . 600(N) could comprise a different module of the same workstation device all contained within a common housing, or the different electronic appliances could be located within different system components. For example, electronic appliance 600(2) could be disposed within a disk controller unit, electronic appliance 600(3) could be disposed within a display device 614 housing, and the electronic appliance 600(N) could be disposed within the housing of a printer 622. Referring back to FIG. 7, scanner 626, modem 618, telecommunication means 624, keyboard 612 and/or voice recognition box 613 could each comprise a VDE electronic appliance 600 having its own SPU 500. Additional examples include RF or otherwise wireless interface controller, a serial interface controller, LAN controllers,

Art Unit: 2134

MPEG (video) controllers, etc.

In reference to claim 66:

Ginter et al. (Figures 1 and 1A) discloses a method as in claim 16 where a plurality of entities are electronically visible to one part of the organization, a first set of outside viewers, or both, and roles or characteristics thereof are electronically visible to another part of the organization, a second set of outside viewers, or both, where the plurality of entities are visible to VDE participant 1, and participant N.

In reference to claim 67:

Ginter et al. (paragraph 1866) discloses a method as in claim 16 where maintaining of roles within the organizational structure is protected and can be performed only by an authorized party inside or outside the organization, where the authorized party is the administrator.

(1866) Independent financial providers can play an important role in VDE 100. The VDE financial provider <u>role</u> is similar to the <u>role</u> played by organizations such as VISA in traditional distribution scenarios. In any distribution model, authorizing payments for use of products or services and auditing usage for consistency and irregularities, is critical. In VDE 100, these are the roles filled by independent financial providers. The independent financial providers may also provide audit services to content providers. Thus, budgets or limits on use, and audits, or records of use, may be processed by (and may also be put in place by) clearinghouses 116, and the clearinghouses may then collect usage payments from users 112. Any VDE user 112 may assign the right to process information or perform services on their behalf to the extend allowed by senior control information. The arrangement by which one VDE participant acts on behalf of another is called a "proxy." Audit, distribution, and other important rights may be "proxied" if permitted by the content provider. One special type of "proxy" is the VDE administrator 116b. A VDE administrator is an organization (which may be acting also as a financial clearinghouse 116) that has permission to manage (for example, "intervene" to reset) some portion or all of VDE secure subsystem control information for VDE electronic appliances. This administration right may extend only to admitting new appliances to a VDE Application/Control Number: 09/503,181 Page 38

Art Unit: 2134

infrastructure and to recovering "crashed" or otherwise inoperable appliances, and providing periodic VDE updates.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of the final action and the advisory action is not mailed under after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(A) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M Ho whose telephone number is (571)272-3835. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory A. Morse can be reached on (571)272-3838.

The Examiner may also be reached through email through Thomas. Ho6@uspto.gov

Art Unit: 2134

Page 39

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)272-2100.

General Information/Receptionist

Telephone: 571-272-2100

Fax: 571-273-8300

Customer Service Representative

Telephone: 571-272-2100 Fax: 571-273-8300

TMH

December 12th, 2005

David Y. Jung Primary Examiner